



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/781,476	02/12/2001	Bjorn Markus Jakobsson	39	9477	
7590 08/24/2004 Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP			EXAMINER		
			TRAN, ELLEN C		
90 Forest Avenue Locust Valley, NY 11560			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<i>3.</i>			2134	4	
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		1.	
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/781,476	JAKOBSSON, BJORN MARKUS V	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ellen C Tran	2134	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr e, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 F</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) ☒ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowarclosed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☒ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic prity documents have been rece nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No sived in this National Stage	
		PRIMARY EXAMINER	
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai  5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/781,476 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to communication: original application filed
 December 2000.

2. Claims 1-18 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1, 17, and 18 are independent claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,867,578 by Brickell et al. (hereinafter '578).

As to independent claim 1, "A method for encrypting a message to be transmitted over a network, wherein the method comprises the steps of:" is taught in '578 col. 7, line 34 through 'col. 8, line 14;

"encrypting the message for transmission over the network, the resulting encrypted message having associated therewith a proof of correctness indicating that the message is of a type that allows decryption by one or more escrow authorities; and transmitting the encrypted message through the network to a recipient, wherein in traversing the network

Application/Control Number: 09/781,476

Art Unit: 2134

the proof of correctness associated with the encrypted message is checked by at least one module of a server of the network" is taught in '578 col. 6, line 57 through col. 7, line 15.

As to dependent claim 2, "wherein the encrypted message is generated by first selecting a random element k from an interval  $[0 \dots q-1]$ , where q denotes the size of a group G, using modulo p, then computing a symmetric key K=hash(g<sup>k</sup> mod p) for a symmetric encryption technique (E,D), where g is a generator of the group G, and finally computing the encrypted message in the form of a ciphertext M'= $E_K(M)$ , where M denotes the message being encrypted" is shown in '578 col. 9, line 10 through col. 10, line 40.

As to dependent claim 3, "wherein also associated with the encrypted message is an element  $a=y_d^{\alpha}*g^k$  and an element  $b=g^{\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha$  is chosen uniformly at random from [0...q-1] and  $y_d$  is a public encryption key" is disclosed in '578 col. 9, lines 10-45.

As to dependent claim 4, "wherein the proof of correctness comprises a proof of knowledge of  $(\alpha,k)$  that does not  $y_d^{\alpha}$  or  $g^k$ ," is taught in '578 col. 7, lines 1-5.

As to dependent claim 5, "wherein also associated with the encrypted message is a certificate C<sub>d</sub> on a public encryption key y<sub>d</sub>" is shown in '578 col. 10, lines 15-19.

As to dependent claim 6, "wherein the encrypted message is considered valid by the module of the server if the proof of correctness is valid and the certificate  $C_d$  is valid" is disclosed in '578 col. 10, lines 26-31.

As to dependent claim 7, "wherein the certificate  $C_d$  is considered valid if it is a valid certificate for encryption" is taught in '578 col. 10, lines 26-31.

As to dependent claim 8, "wherein the proof of correctness comprises a proof c in the form of a triple (r, s1, s2)" is taught in '578 col. 17, lines 33-38.

Application/Control Number: 09/781,476

Art Unit: 2134

As to dependent claim 9, "wherein the proof c is generated using the steps of: selecting two elements  $\beta 1$  and  $\beta 2$  at random from an interval  $[0 \dots q-1]$ ; computing  $r = y_d^{\beta 2}$   $g^{\beta 2} \pmod{p}$ ; computing e = hash(r, a); computing  $s1 = \beta 1 + e^*\alpha \pmod{q}$ ; computing  $s2 = \beta 2 + e^*k \pmod{q}$  and outputting the triple (r, s1, s2) as the proof c" is taught in '578 col. 21, line 66 through col. 22, line 65.

As to dependent claim 10, "wherein the encrypted message is decrypted by a recipient using the steps of: computing  $B=b^{xd} \pmod{p}$ , where  $x_d$  is a secret key corresponding to a public key  $y_d$ ; computing  $K=hash(\alpha/B \mod p)$ ; and computing the message M as  $M=D_K(M')$ " is taught in '578 col. 9, line 10 through col. 10, line 40.

As to dependent claim 11, "wherein the proof of correctness comprising the proof c in the form of the triple (r, s1, s2) is checked by computing e=hash(r, a) and verifying that  $y_d^{s1}*g^{s2}=r*a^e$ " is shown in '578 col. 21, line 66 through col. 22, line 65.

As to dependent claim 12, "wherein if the check of the proof of correctness indicates that the proof is invalid, the module of the server directs that the encrypted message be discarded" is disclosed in 878 col. 7, lines 25-34.

As to dependent claim 13, "wherein the network comprises a plurality of servers, and wherein each of at least a subset of the servers includes a module for checking the proof of correctness if the corresponding encrypted message passes through the corresponding server in being transmitted from a sender to the recipient through the network" is taught in '578 col. 7, line 34 through col. 8, line 47.

As to dependent claim 14, "wherein the one or more escrow authorities comprises an escrow authority associated with a public key used for encryption of the message, and

Application/Control Number: 09/781,476

Art Unit: 2134

wherein the escrow authority associated with the public key is able to decrypt the encrypted message to obtain a plaintext message" is shown in '578 col. 6, lines 16-65.

As to dependent claim 15, "wherein the escrow agent associated with the public key is able to decrypt the encrypted message without exposing a corresponding secret key, using a threshold-based method" is disclosed in '578 col. 10, lines 52-67.

As to dependent claim 16, "wherein associated with the encrypted message is a first element that is generated using a public key of the recipient and can be decrypted by a party holding the corresponding secret key, and a second element that proves that the first element can be decrypted by a party holding the corresponding secret key" is taught in '578 col. 6, lines 57-65

As to independent claim 17, this claim is directed to an apparatus of the method of claim 1 and it is rejected along the same rationale.

As to independent claim 18, this claim is directed to an article of manufacture comprising one or more software programs of the method of claim 1 and it is rejected along the same rationale.

Page 5

## Page 6

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen C Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-8917. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory A Morse can be reached on (703) 308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5484.

Ellen. Tran
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2134
27 July, 2004

NORMAN M. WRIGHT PRIMARY EXAMINER